

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF GOMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/674,815	12/07/2000		Akira Aomatsu	5836-01-MJA	5030
75	590	01/27/2005		EXAM	INER
Charles W As	hbrook		KWON, BRIAN YONG S		
Warner Lamber		у		ADT 10 HT	D. D. D. D. V. D. C. D.
2800 Plymouth	Road		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Ann Arbor, Mi	48105		1614		

DATE MAILED: 01/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

6	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/674,815	AOMATSU, AKIRA					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Brian S Kwon	1614					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		correspondence address					
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vor - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. CD (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Ju	<u>ıne 2004</u> .						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>25-32</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>32</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>25-31</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau		v d					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	;a.					
Attachment(s)	□	(DTO 440)					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>	4) Ll Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	•					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of Informal P	Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔀 Other: <u>See Continua</u>	ation Sneet.					

Continuation of Attachment(s) 6). Other: Copy of Hyperdictionary (Webster's 1913 Dictionary).

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### RCE Application

- 1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's filing of US Application No. 09/674815 as a Request For Continued Examination (RCE).
- 2. Claims 25-32 are currently pending in the application, however, claims 25-31 are being prosecuted on the merits of the case since the claim 32 is drawn to non-elected invention.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 25-27, 29 and 31 are rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Woodruff (US 5084479).

The claims read on a composition comprising alpha-amino acid and a 4-amino-3-substituted-butanoic acid derivative selected from gabapentin, pregabalin, 3-aminomethyl-4-cyclohexyl-butanoic acid, 3-aminomethyl-5-cyclohexyl-pentanoic acid, 3-aminomethyl-4-phenyl-butanoic acid, and 3-aminomethyl-5-pehnyl-pentanoic acid.

Woodruff discloses a solution comprising N-methyl-D-aspartic acid and gabapentin (column 8, line 5).

Since the claimed composition does not necessarily contain auxiliary agent (see definition of "optional" in attached Webster's 1913 Dictionary), the referenced composition

Art Unit: 1614

anticipates the claimed composition. Furthermore, the claimed pharmaceutical dosage form refers to liquid, the referenced solution composition anticipates the claimed composition.

It is noted that recitations of inherent properties or characteristics are not limited to the interpretation of composition claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. Claims 25-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Seiler et al. (Gen. Pharmac. Vol. 15, No. 4, pp. 367-369, 1984) and Costa et al. (US 5248678), and if necessary further in view of Liu et al. (European Journal of Pharmacology, 182, 1990, pp. 109-115).

Application/Control Number: 09/674,815

Art Unit: 1614

Seiler teaches or suggests the synergistic anticonvulsant effects of a GABA agonist and alpha-amino acid such as glycine. The reference discloses muscimol as the specific example of a GABA agonist.

Costa is being supplied as the reference to demonstrate the use of gabapentin, baclofen, vigabatrin and muscimol as GABA agonists.

Liu is being supplied as the reference to demonstrate the use of alpha-amino acid such as glycine in potentiating GABA agonist such as vigabatrin.

The teaching of Seiler differs from the claimed invention (i) in the combination use of gabapentin and glycine in a composition; (ii) the specific amount of alpha-amino acid (e.g., glycine) in said composition; (iii) the specific dosage formulation, for example liquid or solid preparation. To incorporate such teaching into the teaching of Seiler, would have been obvious in view of Costa who teaches or suggests the use of gabapentin as a GABA agonist.

One having ordinary skill in the art would have expected that gabapentin would have a similar property as muscimol due to its GABA agonist activity. One having ordinary skill in the art would have expected (as taught by Liu) that the addition of alpha-amino acid such as glycine to GABA agonists that are known in the art would potentiate activity of GABA agonist. Thus, one having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make such modification such that the combination of gabapentin and glycine in a composition would provide synergistic anticonvulsant effect.

In addition, optimization of amounts of known active and/or inactive ingredients in a composition or determination of the specific delivery dosage form having optimum therapeutic index is well considered within the skill of the artisan, absent evidence to the contrary.

Application/Control Number: 09/674,815

Art Unit: 1614

# Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 25-31 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 28-39 of Copending US Application No. 09/674,819.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the scope of the claimed composition is overlapping with the claimed scope of the copending application. Since the interpretation of the instant claim allows for the includsion of any other unspecified ingredients even in major amounts in said composition, the presence of humectant in said composition in the copending application makes obvious the instant claims.

### Conclusion

- 6. No Claim is allowed.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian Kwon whose telephone number is (571) 272-0581. The examiner can normally be reached Tuesday through Friday from 9:00 am to 7:00pm.

Art Unit: 1614

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Low, can be reached on (571) 272-0951. The fax number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature of relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Brian Kwon

This is **G** o o g I e's cache of <a href="http://www.hyperdictionary.com/dictionary/optional">http://www.hyperdictionary.com/dictionary/optional</a> as retrieved on Dec 31, 2004 06:53:56 GMT.

Google's cache is the snapshot that we took of the page as we crawled the web.

The page may have changed since that time. Click here for the current page without highlighting.

This cached page may reference images which are no longer available. Click here for the cached text only.

To link to or bookmark this page, use the following url: http://www.google.com/search?

q=cache:r0HNwp4glogJ:www.hyperdictionary.com/dictionary/optional+optional+definition&hl=en&start=1

Google is not affiliated with the authors of this page nor responsible for its content.

These search terms have been highlighted: optional definition



English Dictionary Computer Dictionary Thesaurus Dream Dictionary Medical Dictionary

Search Dictionary: optional

# **Meaning of OPTIONAL**

Pronunciation: 'âpshunl

WordNet Dictionary

[adj] possible but not necessary; left to personal choice

Websites:

**Definition:** 

• Find the Best Sites For Optional With Starware

Starware search is an excellent resource for quality sites on Optional and much more! Starware also provides related listings for

Optional.

search.starware.com

Synonyms:

elective, ex gratia, facultative, nonmandatory, nonobligatory

Antonyms:

obligatory

### Webster's 1913 Dictionary

Definition:

\Op"tion\*al\, a.

Involving an option; depending on the exercise of an option; left to one's discretion or choice; not compulsory; as, optional studies; it is optional with you to go or stay. -- n. See {Elective}, n.

If to the former the movement was not **optional**, it was the same that the latter chose when it was **optional**.

--Palfrey.

Original writs are either **optional** or peremptory.

--Blackstone.

#### **Thesaurus Terms**

Related Terms: alternative, arbitrary, autonomous, discretional, discretionary, disjunctive, elective, free, free will, gratuitous, independent, nonmandatory, offered, proffered, self-active, self-determined, self-determining, spontaneous, unasked, unbesought, unbidden, uncalled-for, uncoerced, uncompelled, unforced, uninfluenced, uninvited, unpressured, unprompted, unrequested, unrequired, unsolicited, unsolicited, volitional, voluntary, volunteer, willful